# EXHIBIT A

Case Number: KC-2021-0443
Filed in Kent County Superior Sourt v-00292-WES-LDA Document 1-1 Filed 07/13/21 Page 2 of 14 PageID #: 7
Submitted: 5/19/2027 10:45 AM

Envelope: 3107064 Reviewer: Lindsay Z.

KELLY JOHNSON,	)
Plaintiff	) STATE OF RHODE ISLAND ) KENT COUNTY, S.C.
V.	) ) C.A. No.: KC 2021-
CUMBERLAND FARMS, INC.	) )
Defendant	) CIVIL ACTION

## **COMPLAINT**

#### PLAINTIFF DEMANDS A TRIAL BY JURY ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

#### NATURE OF CASE

- Plaintiff, Kelly Johnson, is filing this Complaint against her former employer, CUMBERLAND FARMS, INC. Plaintiff is filing this Complaint to obtain full and complete relief and to redress the unlawful employment practices described herein.
- 2. Plaintiff is seeking declaratory and injunctive relief, attorney fees and other litigation expenses and other equitable relief, including but not exclusively back pay and back benefits, as well as compensatory and punitive damages against defendants for: (a) subjecting Plaintiff to a pervasive and severe sexually hostile work environment and (b) terminating Plaintiff's employment with it to retaliate against her for reporting to superiors that she was being sexually harassed in the workplace. These actions were in violation of the *Rhode Island Civil Rights Act*, R.I. Gen. Laws §§ 42-112-1 *et seq.* ("RICRA").

#### **PARTIES**

3. The Plaintiff is Kelly Johnson. She is currently a resident of Sterling, CT..

Case Number: KC-2021-0443
Filed in Kent County Superior Squrt v-00292-WES-LDA Document 1-1 Filed 07/13/21 Page 3 of 14 PageID #: 8
Submitted: 5/19/2027 10:45 AM

Envelope: 3107064
Reviewer: Lindsay Z. 2 of 12

4. Cumberland Farms, Inc. is a Massachusetts for-profit corporation doing business in Rhode Island that at all times employed 15 or more employees while Plaintiff worked for it and for at least two years before she worked for it.

#### JURISDICTION and VENUE

- 5. This court has explicit jurisdiction over the Plaintiff's Rhode Island Civil Rights

  Act claim pursuant to RIGL § 42-112-2 and this Complaint was filed within its

  applicable three year statute of limitations.
- Venue is proper in this court since the alleged unlawful employment practices occurred in Kent County.

#### ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- After receiving initial training, Plaintiff began working third shift at the Cumberland Farms, Inc. in early April 2019 at its New London Turnpike, Coventry Rhode Island location.
- 8. Her store manager, Kaitlyn Lowe, was having difficulty filling third shift slots for this store. To make sure she would have coverage for this shift, Ms. Lowe contacted "Stacy" from the Natick Road, Warwick R.I. location to see if she had

Envelope: 3107064

Reviewer: Lindsay Z. 3 of 12

> any employees interested in filling 3<sup>rd</sup> shift slots at the New London Turnpike store.

- 9. In mid-April 2019, "Stacy" sent an employee "Dave" from the Natick Road store to cover 3<sup>rd</sup> shift slots at the New London Turnpike store.
- 10. Dave actually ended up transferring to the New London Turnpike store that same week.
- 11. Dave began sexually harassing Plaintiff from the start, and it became progressively more severe.
- 12. When he first started, Dave intentionally touched Plaintiff's arm as he talked to her.
- 13. Plaintiff reacted immediately by telling him not to touch her.
- 14. Dave responded by laughing.
- 15. Soon after, Dave began calling her inappropriate names such as "hun", "babe" and "sweetie."
- 16. Plaintiff let him know that she did not welcome this by immediately responding to him that her "name is Kelly."
- 17. Dave progressed to texting her to go out on dates with him and she refused each time he texted her.

Case Number: KC-2021-0443
Filed in Kent County Superjor Courty-00292-WES-LDA Document 1-1 Filed 07/13/21 Page 5 of 14 PageID #: 10
Submitted: 5/19/2021 10:45 AM

Envelope: 3107064 Reviewer: Lindsay Z. 4 of 12

18. Also, even though Plaintiff told him not to touch her, Dave kept making excuses to touch Plaintiff. For example, he would put his hands on Plaintiff's hips as he walked by.

- 19. Since telling him when they were alone to stop touching her did not work,
  Plaintiff resorted to telling Dave to stop touching her in the presence of a
  customer, but he again simply laughed at her in response.
- 20. It was evident to Plaintiff that Dave was not going to stop sexually harassing her unless her supervisors intervened.
- 21. Plaintiff first told the assistant managers, Kevin and Scott, the details of how

  Dave was continually harassing her, and they told her that they would inform
  the store manager, Kaitlyn, what she had reported to them.
- 22. Soon after she made this report, Dave came up from behind her while she was on the way to the bathroom and rested his head on her shoulder and

Case Number: KC-2021-0443
Filed in Kent County Superjor Courty-00292-WES-LDA Document 1-1 Filed 07/13/21 Page 6 of 14 PageID #: 11
Submitted: 5/19/2021 10:45 AM

Submitted: 5/19/2021 10:45 AM COUNTY TO SUBMIT TO SUBMIT

while making a move to hug her, stated to her, "This could be fun." Plaintiff immediately stopped him from hugging her by pushing him away.

- 23. Shortly thereafter, Kailyn asked Plaintiff about her allegations that Dave was sexually harassing her, and Plaintiff responded by telling her the details of the sexually hostile work environment that Dave was inflicting on her.
- 24. Kaitlyn responded by asking Plaintiff to show her the texts Dave had sent her, and Plaintiff showed them to her.
- 25. Kaitlyn then asked Plaintiff if anyone else had seen or heard Dave harass her. Plaintiff told her that a co-worker, "Rose," had witnessed Dave harassing her.
- 26. Kaitlyn directed both Plaintiff and Rose to write statements.
- 27. Both Plaintiff and Rose turned in statements that described how Dave had harassed Plaintiff.
- 28. Shortly after giving her the written statement, Kaitlyn terminated Rose with no legitimate reason other than she was not "a good fit for the team."
- 29. It was evident that the real reason Kaitlyn terminated Rose was to retaliate against her for willingly being a witness to confirm Plaintiff's claims that Dave was sexually harassing her.
- 30. Kaitlyn then turned to creating excuses to terminate Plaintiff, which her obvious motivation was to retaliate against her for reporting that she was being sexually harassed by Dave.

Case Number: KC-2021-0443
Filed in Kent County Superjor Courty-00292-WES-LDA Document 1-1 Filed 07/13/21 Page 7 of 14 PageID #: 12
Submitted: 5/19/2021 10:45 AM

Envelope: 3107064 Reviewer: Lindsay Z. 6 of 12

31. Prior to Plaintiff reporting to Kaitlyn that Dave was sexually harassing her, Kaitlyn had given her wide discretion to perform her job duties and only loosely supervised her.

- 32. However, after she gave the statement, Kaitlyn began to micromanage Plaintiff and began creating a paper trail to justify terminating her.
- 33. For example, Kaitlyn gave Plaintiff a warning for texting as a method to notify Kaitlyn that she would not be able to make it in for one of her shifts; she did this even though this had been an acceptable method of communication in the past.
- 34. Next, Kaitlyn gave Plaintiff a warning for ringing on the same drawer as the person working with her. This was something that had been the practice in the store since Plaintiff started working at this store.
- 35. It had been the practice for Plaintiff to sign in her drawer when she started on third shift and she was authorized to allow the second shift employee to continue to work out of that drawer until she actually stopped working, which was usually less than an hour into third shift.
- 36. Also, Katlyn directed Plaintiff to sign in first shift employees to a drawer at 3 a.m. even though their first shift did not start until 6 or 7 a.m. and Plaintiff

Case Number: KC-2021-0443
Filed in Kent County Superjor Courty-00292-WES-LDA Document 1-1 Filed 07/13/21 Page 8 of 14 PageID #: 13
Submitted: 5/19/2021 10:45 AM

Envelope: 3107064
Reviewer: Lindsay Z. 7 of 12

would then ring on their registers until the first shift employee arrived at work between 6 to 7 a.m.

- 37. Kaitlyn gave Plaintiff her manager number so she could sign these employees into the register as she had been directed.
- 38. On certain nights, an employee "Crystal," who worked second shift, would continue to ring on the third shift employees' drawers from 11:00 p.m.-12:00 a.m. while she waited for someone to pick her up at 12:00 a.m. under Kaitlyn's full knowledge and approval.
- 39. On or about June 23, 2019, Kaitlyn came in at 11:00 p.m. as Plaintiff was starting her shift and asked her to come to her office.
- 40. Kaitlyn asked Plaintiff who was ringing on her drawer.
- 41. Plaintiff told Kaitlyn that she knew Kaitlyn was aware that Crystal had routinely rung on Plaintiff's drawer and that Kaitlyn had explicitly approved it.
- 42. Plaintiff told Kaitlyn that she was not going tolerate getting another fabricated warning from her and was going to report it to corporate if it happened again.
- 43. Kaitlyn responded by telling Plaintiff: "I'm not writing you up, I'm firing you."
- 44. Plaintiff asked her how she could justify terminating her for letting Crystal ring on her drawer when Kaitlyn had approved it; she also stated to Kaitlyn

Filed in Kent County Superjor Courty-00292-WES-LDA Document 1-1 Filed 07/13/21 Page 9 of 14 PageID #: 14 Submitted: 5/19/2021 16:45 AM Envelope: 3107064

Reviewer: Lindsay Z.

that it also made no sense since she had directed her to sign in first shift employees on registers hours before they started their shifts.

8 of 12

- 45. Kaitlyn could not give Plaintiff an answer because she was actually making up an excuse to fire her to try to cover up her retaliatory motive.
- 46. Kaitlyn knew that she had allowed Crystal to ring on third shift employees' registers and that she had given Plaintiff her manager number to sign first shift employees in before the shift even started.
- 47. As a result, Kaitlyn knew that was no basis for her attempt to defend herself and simply went through with firing Plaintiff without any actual legitimate basis.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

#### SEXUALLY HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT

RICRA, R. I Gen. Laws §§ 42-112-1 et seq.

#### KELLY JOHNSON V. CUMBERLAND FARMS, INC.

- 48. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained in above paragraphs of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if set forth herein.
- 49. Plaintiff had an employer and employee relationship with Defendant, CUMBERLAND FARMS, INC. that acted through its management and supervisory employees.
- 50. Plaintiff's employee-employer relationship with CUMBERLAND FARMS, INC. constitutes a contractual relationship within the meaning of The Rhode Island Civil Rights Act, RI Gen. Laws §§ 42-112-1 et seq. ("RICRA").

Case Number: KC-2021-0443
Filed in Kent County Superion County - 00292-WES-LDA Document 1-1 Filed 07/13/21 Page 10 of 14 PageID #: 15
Submitted: 5/19/2021 10:45 AM

Envelope: 3107064 Reviewer: Lindsay Z. 9 of 12

51. On the basis of the above facts, in violation of the RICRA, Plaintiff was subjected to a sexually hostile work environment by her former employer, CUMBERLAND FARMS, INC., through the action of its manager, Kaitlyn.

- 52. The sexually hostile work environment created by the Defendant was unwelcome and personally offensive to Plaintiff, as it would have been to the reasonable person in her position, and was both pervasive and severe to the extent that it adversely changed her working conditions and her ability to perform her job, as it would have to a similarly situated reasonable person in her position.
- 53. Pursuant to the RICRA, CUMBERLAND FARM, INC. is legally responsible for Dave's actions in subjecting Plaintiff to a sexually hostile work environment because its management employment, Kaitlyn, failed to take prompt appropriate remedial action to attempt to stop the harassment from continuing and fired Plaintiff to avoid having to take such action.
- 54. Plaintiff suffered, is now suffering, and will continue to suffer, unless and until this Court grants relief, economic damages, including, but not limited to, back pay and benefits, and emotional damages, including, but not limited to, emotional distress, loss of enjoyment of life, humiliation, and damage to her personal and business reputation as a result of Defendant subjecting her to a sexually hostile work environment and for terminating her so that it could avoid having to take prompt appropriate remedial action to attempt to stop the sexual harassment from continuing.

Case Number: KC-2021-0443
Filed in Kent County Superion County -00292-WES-LDA Document 1-1 Filed 07/13/21 Page 11 of 14 PageID #: 16
Submitted: 5/19/2021 10:45 AM

Envelope: 3107064
Reviewer: Lindsay Z. 10 of 12

#### SECOND CAUSES OF ACTION

# RETALIATION (post-employment)

*RICRA, R.I Gen. Laws §§ 42-112-1 et seq.* 

#### KELLY JOHNSON V. CUMBERLAND FARMS, INC.

- 55. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation contained in the above paragraphs of this Complaint with the same force and effect as if set forth herein.
- 56. Defendant retaliated against Plaintiff in violation of the Rhode Island Civil Rights Act, RIGL § 42-112-1 *et. seq.* for reporting to Defendant management employee, Kaitlyn, that she was being subjected to a sexually hostile work environment by terminating Plaintiff's employment with Defendant and making up pre-textual excuses to attempt to cover up the retaliatory motive.
- 57. The Plaintiff suffered, is now suffering, and will continue to suffer emotional damages, loss of enjoyment of life, damage to her business and professional reputation, other emotional damages, loss of pay and benefits and other economic injury, and other damages, as the result of having been retaliated against by Defendant, unless and until this Court grants relief.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter judgment:

- a. Declaring that Defendant's acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of the Rhode Island Civil Rights Act, RI Gen. Laws §§ 42-112-1 et. seq.;
- Enjoining and permanently restraining the Defendant from further violating the Rhode Island Civil Rights Act, RI Gen. Laws §§ 42-112-1 et. seq.;

Envelope: 3107064

Reviewer: Lindsay Z.

11 of 12

c. Directing Defendant to take such affirmative action as is necessary to ensure that the effects of these unlawful discriminatory and retaliatory practices are eliminated and do not affect Plaintiff's future employment opportunities;

- d. Directing Defendant to place Plaintiff in the position she would have occupied but for Defendant's discriminatory and retaliatory treatment of her, and make her whole for all earnings she would have received but for Defendant's discriminatory and retaliatory treatment, including, but not limited to, wages and employee benefits;
- e. Awarding the Plaintiff compensatory damages for future pecuniary losses, emotional pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, and other non-pecuniary losses to be determined by a jury for violations of the Rhode Island Civil Rights Act, RI Gen. Laws §§ 42-112-1 et seq.;
- Awarding the Plaintiff punitive damages for Defendant's intentional violations of the Rhode Island Civil Rights Act, RI Gen. Laws §§ 42-112-1 et seq. Defendant was aware of the Plaintiff's statutory rights pursuant to the Rhode Island Civil Rights Act, RI Gen. Laws §§ 42-112-1 et. seq. and intentionally violated them and did so with malice and ill will toward Plaintiff and/or reckless indifference to the statutorily protected rights of Plaintiff;
- g. Awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action together with reasonable attorney's fees and expert witness fees pursuant to the Rhode Island Civil Rights Act, RI Gen. Laws §§ 42-112-2;
- h. Awarding Plaintiff pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;
- Granting such other and further relief as this Court deems necessary and proper.

#### DEMAND FOR A TRIAL BY JURY

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all causes of action.

Case Number: KC-2021-0443
Filed in Kent County Superion County -00292-WES-LDA Document 1-1 Filed 07/13/21 Page 13 of 14 PageID #: 18
Submitted: 5/19/2027 10:45 AM

Envelope: 3107064
Reviewer: Lindsay Z. 12 of 12

Respectfully submitted, By Plaintiff's Attorney,

/s/ Robert E. Savage
Robert E. Savage (#4461)
SAVAGE & SAVAGE
156 Airport Road
Warwick, RI 02889
Telephone: (401) 732-9500

Fax: (401) 732-0166 Dated: May 19, 2021

robert@savageandsavage.com

Case Number: KC-2021-0443
Filed in Kent County Superior County

Reviewer: Lindsay Z.



# STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

### **SUPERIOR COURT**

## WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS

Plaintiff/Petitioner	Civil Action File Number
Kelly Johnson  Defendant/Respondent	KC-2021-0443
Cumberland Farms, Inc.	
<ul> <li>☐ Murray Judicial Complex         Newport County         45 Washington Square         Newport, Rhode Island 02840-2913     </li> <li>☐ McGrath Judicial Complex</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓ Noel Judicial Complex         Kent County         222 Quaker Lane         Warwick, Rhode Island 02886-0107     </li> <li>✓ Licht Judicial Complex</li> </ul>
Washington County 4800 Tower Hill Road Wakefield, Rhode Island 02879-2239	Providence/Bristol County 250 Benefit Street Providence, Rhode Island 02903-2719
To: Robert E. Savage, Esquire	
have also received a copy of the complaint in the copies of this document, and a means by which cost to me.	I can return the signed waiver to you without mmons and an additional copy of the complaint in whose behalf that I am acting) be served with
	acting) will retain all defenses or objections to
4. I understand that a judgment may be entered against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon the opposing party within sixty (60) days after 6/21/2021 or within ninety (90) days after that date (date request was sent) if the request was sent outside the United States.	
Name	
Raymond M. Ripple, Esquire on behalf of Cumberlan	d Farms, Inc.
Signature /s/ Raymond M. Ripple	
Address: One Finanical Plaza, Suite 2205, Providence	ce, RI 02903
Telephone Number: 401-824-2505	Date: 6/22/2021